



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,495	02/20/2004	Yung-Cheng Chen	N1085-00251 [TSMC2003-083]	2148
54657 7590 09/09/2009 DUANE MORRIS LLP (TSMC) IP DEPARTMENT 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196			EXAMINER NORTON, JENNIFER L	
			ART UNIT 2121	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/09/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/783,495	Applicant(s) CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Jennifer L. Norton	Art Unit 2121	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jennifer L. Norton. (3) ____.

(2) Mark Marcilli Reg. No.36,593. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 06 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: N/A.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 12.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent No. 6,825,912 (hereinafter Park) and U.S. Patent No. 6,630,362 (hereinafter Lensing).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented new limitations to claims 1 and 12 to overcome the prior art of Park and Lensing. The Examiner agreed the newly presented amendments of "the top layer being a non-photoresist layer" in claim 1 and "the top layer being a non-photoresist layer" in claim 12 appears to overcome the prior art of Park and Lensing.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jennifer L. Norton/	/Albert DeCady/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2121
----------------------	---